

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,893	893 01/30/2004 Shinichi Tai		03670.002260	4193		
5514 FITZPATRICI	7590 07/24/200 CELLA HARPER &		EXAM	AINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			POND, ROBERT M			
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		·	3625			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/24/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•					
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears		10/766,893	TAKAHASHI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert M. Pond	3625		
Period fo	or Reply		on coponacine dadress -		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ma</u>	ay, 04 June, and 06 July 2007.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowan				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>12-14,35-37,58-60,81-83 and 93-128</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>12-14,35-37,58-60,81-83 and 93-128</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. is/are rejected.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 6/4/07;7/6/07	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Applicant amended independent claims 12, 35, 58, and 81, and newly added claims 93-128. Claims 1-11, 15-34, 38-57, 61-80, and 84-92 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter. The Applicant based arguments dependent upon amended subject matter. All pending claims 12-14, 35-37, 58-60, 81-83, and 93-128 were examined in this final office action necessitated by amendment.

Corrections to Fig. 7D were approved and will be entered. Corrections to the Specification were approved and will be entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Further review of Aklepi subsequent to the interview and motivated by the Applicant's amendment, indicates that the Applicant's independent claims remain overly broad in light of the cited prior art Aklepi. See below for response to Applicant's arguments. The Examiner is suggesting the Applicant consider a telephone interview for further discussion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

1. Claims 102-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01.

The omitted steps are: active use of displayed data to achieve a useful, concrete and tangible result. Newly added dependent claims are merely presenting business data that offers no consequence to the claimed invention's utility.

2. Claims 93-101 and 111-128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01.

The omitted elements are: Newly added dependent claims are merely using structures presenting business data that offers no consequence to the claimed invention's utility.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,893

Art Unit: 3625

Page 4

3. Claims 12, 14, 35, 37, 58, 60, 81, and 83 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aklepi (US 6,795,823).

Aklepi teaches an article routing and tracking system and method for optimally routing an article through a network of processing stations, and can recalculate the route at every stop based on updated information (see at least abstract; Fig. 1; col. 1, line 5 through col. 6, line 41). Aklepi provides a system and method to de-consolidate an in-transit shipment of an article or plurality of articles and provide estimated time of arrivals for each de-consolidated article of an optimized route. Aklepi further teaches:

- transmitting to the server product inquiry information including an article number; system receives as search criteria for a global database a customer number to locate, track, and control multiple packages sharing a common characteristic; Regarding "product number" please see below. The global database of routing and tracking information maintains a separate record for each article handled by the system, the basic identifier being an article tracking number unique to the article; additional identifiers can be associated with the article (see at least col. 6, lines 17-24); system search criteria by a user can be an article tracking number, a customer identifier (col. 9, lines 24-41).
- and receiving a plurality of estimated time of arrivals to a plurality of
 destinations for at least one in-transit unit having the article number. all
 routing activities are centralized in the global computer server which, in

turn, may be accessed and manipulated through an electronic communications network such as the Internet; an authorized user accesses the system from a personal computer through a standard Internet browser and enters the tracking number or customer identifier for the article or articles to be tracked. The authorized user is then be presented with a page showing the present status of the articles, tracking activity for each article, and the next scheduled stop along with an estimated time and date of delivery based on the most recent optimized routing. Using the browser, the user is then be able to modify routing options for each article or for the entire group of articles. The routing options may include, without limitation, canceling shipments in transit. changing the final destination of an article or articles, changing routing optimization variables by assigning more or less weight to factors such as average speed between processing stations, weather or traffic, requesting that articles be held at particular processing stations, requesting consolidation or de-consolidation of shipments, and requesting that a particular route be used regardless of optimization considerations. Any custom routing options entered by the user are then translated into a set of routing rules for the affected global database article records. At the next stop in each article's route, the global server will query the routing rules and take them into account when re-calculating the optimal route for each article. If no custom routing option's are specified by the user, the

optimized route is re-calculated using a predetermined set of default routing rules (see at least col. 10, lines 13-42). Please note: changing the routing of an article multiple time or multiple articles results in recalculating estimated arrival times and dates.

- Display the plurality of estimated time of arrivals, an identifier for each of the plurality of destinations, and at least one field for entering a quantity of in-transit units to be diverted to one of the plurality of destinations. As previously noted above... the authorized user is then be presented with a page showing the present status of the articles, tracking activity for each article, and the next scheduled stop along with an estimated time and date of delivery based on the most recent optimized routing (see at least col. 10, lines 13-42).
- receiving an acknowledgement from the server that it has diverted one of
 the at least one in-transit units to a final destination corresponding to the
 destination identifier. Provides final destination identifier and updates
 searchable global database having reached final destination (see at least
 col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 26).
- transmitting to the server a product purchase order including a article
 number, a quantity number, and a customer identifier; and receiving an
 acknowledgement from the server that the article order has been
 accepted, wherein the server diverts one of the at least one in-transit units
 of that article number to a final destination based on the customer

identifier. See below regarding "product." Purchase order number identifier associated with one or more articles, the identifier being a common characteristic among the articles purchased and being shipped (see at least col. 9, lines 24-41). Please note: system providing tracking information associated with a customer purchase order serves as acknowledgement.

Aklepi teaches all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and further teaches:

- <u>articles</u> as raw materials, <u>manufactured goods</u>, packages, parcels and parts;
- businesses require systems which minimize transit times, allow for maximum control of goods (i.e. articles) (i.e., the ability to re-route and hold articles in transit) and permit up-to-the-minute tracking of articles;
- in order to minimize transportation and translocation costs, it is beneficial to have the ability to consolidate or de-consolidate groups of articles while in transit without loosing the ability to track and route articles individually; and
- it is also important for businesses to have accurate information regarding estimated production, delivery and pick up times for articles to be able to adjust such times to meet production requirements and to have "end to end" accountability of shipments in transit.

Although Aklepi does not disclose using a product number or product order, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to associate a product number of a manufacture good in transit with an article's tracking number. For instance, Aklepi's invention teaches using an article's tracking number to re-route the individual article or group or articles. From an Aklepi's customer perspective, whether it is an end customer or manufacturer, diverting an in-transit shipment of an article or group of articles by an Aklepi customer would require knowledge of "what" the article is in order for the Aklepi customer to make an informed decision on re-routing or deconsolidation.

4. Claims 13, 36, 59, and 82 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aklepi (US 6,795,823).

Aklepi teaches all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teaches a) requesting by a user to re-route an individual article, multiple articles (i.e. quantities), or container to a new destination and/or final destination, b) using a destination identifier for tracking purposes (see at least col.8, lines 16-20), and further teaches common carriers providing transportation, multiple transportation routes, and tracking movement of a single article, but does not specifically disclose a carrier identification. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to ascertain as a prudent business practice to be able to uniquely

Application/Control Number: 10/766,893 Page 9

Art Unit: 3625

identify at least the business identities of the common carriers used to haul the articles.

5. Claims 93-128 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aklepi (US 6,795,823).

Aklepi teaches all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teaches using a) business data to the system users to effect in-transit re-routing and/or de-consolidation/consolidation decision-making, and b) customer purchase order information and account number information. Although Aklepi does not disclose other business data as claimed, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to ascertain other system data and customer business data for presentation to the user may provide a customer convenience.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 2005/0149453 (Amling et al.) 07 July 2005, IDS 7/06/07; teaches a system and method of deconsolidating and re-directing items in-transit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Pond whose telephone number is 571-272-6760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jeff Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert M. Pond Primary Examiner July 18, 2006

		702a	737							
										.
			ETA WH	11/28	. 11/28	11/27	11/26	11/20	•	
Divarion		Oby: 500 Due Date: 11/15	734 736 ETA Replied	20		100		150		
		711a # of Containers: 10 704a	Plan ersication	100	200	150	0	150	009	
	System		728 Oty	20	20	100	20	150		
	11 1 11		를 구혈.	_		2		3		
	ETA Diversion		Current B/0	50	0	100	0	150	300	
	WA WA		rent ntory	·o	100	0	20	0	150	
	Product Number: 1388A003AA	Vessel #: 1X93JF In Transit Total: 750	724 Sales History (6 month)	200	3000	1500	300	2000	7300	
	Product Nu	In Tran	722 ×	7	. 22	32	41	52	Total	

FIG. 7D